Application No: 10/523,386 Attorney's Docket No: NL 020727

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS

The attached replacement drawing sheet make changes to Figs. 1 and 2, and replaces the original sheet with Figs. 1 and 2.

AUG-16-2006

15:02

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

703 5199802

P.08

AUG 1 6 2006

Application No: 10/523,386

Attorney's Docket No: NL 020727

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant acknowledges receipt of the Office Action dated May 16, 2006. Reconsideration

and further examination of claims 1, 4, 5, and 8 is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-10 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 1, 4, 5 and 8 are

amended. Claim 1 is amended to incorporate the subject matter previously recited in dependent

claims 2 and 3. Claim 5 is amended to incorporate the subject matter previously recited in

dependent claims 6 and 7. Claim 8 is amended to incorporate the subject matter previously recited

in dependent claims 9 and 10. Thus, claims 2, 3, 6, 7, 9 and 10 are cancelled without prejudice to,

or disclaimer of, the subject matter therein, that subject matter having been incorporated into

independent claims 1, 5 and 8, respectively. Claim 4 is amended to change its dependency as

necessitated by the amendment to claim 1 and the corresponding cancellation of claims 2 and 3.

Objection to the Drawings

In section 1 on page 2, the Office Action objects to the drawings. A drawing correction is

submitted herewith adding descriptive text labels to the rectangular boxes shown in Figs. 1 and 2. It

is respectfully submitted that the drawings comply with all applicable rules. For at least the

foregoing reasons, it is respectfully requested that the objection to the drawings in section 1 on page

2 of the Office Action be withdrawn.

-6-

703 5199802

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 1 6 2006

Application No: 10/523,386

P.09

Attorney's Docket No: NL 020727

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102

In section 3, on pages 3-4, the Office Action rejects claims 1-3 and 5-10 under 35 U.S.C. §

102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by Hayashi (U.S. Pat. No. 6,236,632). This rejection is

respectfully traversed.

Claims 2, 3, 6, 7, 9 and 10 are cancelled without prejudice to, or disclaimer of, the subject

matter therein, that subject matter having been incorporated into independent claims 1, 5 and 8,

respectively. Claims 1, 5 and 8 recite, "at least one capacitor located between both converters."

With respect to the above-quoted subject matter, previously recited in claims 3, 7 and 10, the Office

Action relies on the capacitor shown in Fig. 6 of Hayashi as part of the low pass filter 30. However,

the capacitor shown as part of the low pass filter 30 in Fig. 6 of Hayashi is not located between

converters. Rather, the capacitor depicted as part of the low pass filter 30 in Fig. 6 of Hayashi is a

capacitor going to ground.

For at least the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that Hayashi fails to disclose,

teach or suggest all of the subject matter recited in pending claims 1, 5 and 8.

Claim 4 is allowable based on at least on its dependence from claim 1 for the reasons stated

above in connection with the rejection of claim 1.

-7-

PAGE 9/12 * RCVD AT 8/16/2006 3:03:25 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-5/9 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:703 5199802 * DURATION (mm-ss):01-36

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

703 5199802

P.10

AUG 1 6 2006

Application No: 10/523,386

Attorney's Docket No: NL 020727

Allowable Subject Matter

Further, in section 4 on page 4 of the Office Action, claim 4 is indicated as being allowable if

rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening

claims.

The subject matter recited in claim 4 as previously examined remains unchanged. Thus, it is

respectfully submitted that for at least these additional reasons claim 4 should be allowed.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, withdrawal of the rejections of record and allowance of this

application are earnestly solicited.

While we believe that the instant amendment places the application in condition for

allowance, should the Examiner have any further comments or suggestions, it is respectfully

requested that the Examiner telephone the correspondence attorney listed below in order to

expeditiously resolve any outstanding issues.

-8-

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 1 6 2006

Application No: 10/523,386 Attorney's Docket No: NL 020727

MoodeM

703 5199802

In the event that the fees submitted prove to be insufficient in connection with the filing of this paper, please charge our Deposit Account Number 50-0578 and please credit any excess fees to such Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted, KRAMER & AMADO, P.C.

Date: August 16, 2006

Mark R. Woodall

Registration No.: 43,286

KRAMER & AMADO, P.C. 1725 Duke Street, Suite 240 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone: 703-519-9801

Fax: 703-519-9802

Attachments: Replacement Drawings Sheet

MAIL ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Paul Im - Registration No.: 50,418 US PHILIPS CORPORATION P.O. Box 3001 Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510-8001

Phone: (914) 333-9627 Fax: (914) 332-0615